

Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

November 2006 - Issue 72 - £2.40



What Did They Die For?



November's News

» BNP Councillor Saves £750,000

BNP Councillor Brian Parker has saved Pendle ratepayers £750,000, the amount originally planned to spend on improving privately owned houses in Carr Road, Nelson. The houses were owned by mainly Asian families. Councillor Parker said he had a look at the homes and they were not in a bad condition. In any case, he suggested, home owners wishing to improve their homes should do so at their own expense, as he has.

He added: "It is outrageous that the cost has been put forward. A lot of people living in these houses do not work, so they have time to do any remedial work. I object to the idea. It is another way of screwing the taxpayer."

Cllr Nadeem Ahmed said if Pendle did not take the money on offer, it would go elsewhere, and Cllr Mohammed Iqbal said: "It is deplorable to say people are trying to screw the taxpayer."

» Muslims Tipped Off on Terror Raids

A sign of the strength Muslim community leaders now have in Britain came with the news that Chief Police Officers have decided to alert 'community leaders' well in advance about anti-terrorism raids against suspected Islamic terrorists.

Damian Hockney, a member of the Metropolitan Police Authority, warned of the potential risks of pre-raid briefings. "If the police end up briefing people they don't fully know and trust, and some of those people have got it in for the police, then sensitive information could get into the wrong hands and that could cause a lot of trouble."

Unfortunately, this sensible advice was ignored.

» Whitehall Lawyers Double

The number of highly-paid Government lawyers working in

Whitehall has nearly doubled to 2,005 since 1997. This rise is blamed on the never-ending tide of new legislation produced by ministers and the increase of legal challenges under the Human Rights Act.

The official figures also show that the MoD has three times as many lawyers as it did in 1997, despite the shrinking of our armed forces. Meanwhile the top brass can't afford to give our boys decent boots!

» Hamza Fraud Is Not Exceptional

Abu Hamza, the radical cleric, who was sentenced to seven years for incitement to murder, bought a house for £220,000 in cash and let it out while receiving legal aid. He claims he has no assets of any sort, and his wife and seven children live in a £600,000 council-owned house in Hammersmith, west London.

A study of court cases in numerous local papers, plus those that are so outrageous that they hit the national press, show that this milking of the system, plus downright fraud, is now endemic throughout the UK among all races, religions, black, brown and white, but with immigrants over-represented. It is part of the reason why council tax, as well as stealth taxes, continue to escalate.

» Civil Servants Pension Costs Soar

According to new government documents, the total cost to taxpayers of funding the pensions of civil servants, teachers, judges and other public workers has rocketed by more than £50 billion in just one year, to total just over £327bn. Yet the Government has quietly dropped its suggested plan to raise the retirement age of state workers from 60 to 65, like the rest of us. Contrast this featherbed treatment to the 150,000 retired employees of private companies who lost all their pensions when the companies

collapsed, mainly through overseas competition. They have received nothing from the Government.

» Margaret's Muslim Message

Margaret Beckett, Britain's Foreign Secretary, gave a message at the UN General Assembly offering her good wishes (which will be supported by most people) to Muslims at the start of their holy month of Ramadan. Her message also included this typical New Labour passage:

"Islam is very much a part of our history and our multi-cultural society in Britain. The Government is committed to working with the Muslim community to ensure that ignorance about this great faith is tackled.

"Only by talking to each other and understanding each other's cultures and traditions can Islam's beauty, wisdom and integrity be appreciated. This is a duty for us all."

» Homes Shortage 'Fuelled by Migrants'

The MigrationWatch UK think tank has said that immigration is the main cause of the growing shortage of homes for ordinary people. In what it describes as "another dramatic failure of immigration policy", its report says that between 1996 and 2004 excessive immigration was responsible for 70 % of a shortfall of 260,000 homes.

identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
November 2006
Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971
Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 87, Ossett,
Wakefield, WF5 8WN
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk

Disenfranchised Tories Welcome

His self-righteousness invincible, holy Tony Blair is ready to march off the party political stage to a Clintonesque world as a well-paid after dinner speaker and a grandee of globalist functions and causes. By the time this issue is published he may be close to being pushed off that stage, for such is the turmoil that New Labour now finds itself in that a scapegoat is urgently required.

From inner cities to rural retreats, the nation is living in fear of a crime wave that dwarfs almost anything found elsewhere in the West. Yet Blair had the gall to say at this year's Labour Party conference "Crime has fallen, not risen." At least two terrorist detainees are on the run, up to a thousand foreign criminals who should have been deported have disappeared into the nation's woodwork, and the prisons are full to bursting, with nearly 11,000 of the 80,000 inmates being immigrants or foreign 'visitors'.

As for "Education, Education, Education", we now specialise in producing young people who are brilliant at passing exams, but - through no fault of their own - can hardly read or write. Blair's devotion to the European Union seems to have brought us little except a few minor projects in Wales, the North East and other depressed areas, unless you include the further pressure on our infrastructure of over 700,000 Polish and other East European immigrants. For this, when all direct and indirect costs are factored, the EU costs the British economy £50.6 billion this year, which is over £800 for every man, woman and child in the United Kingdom, and is around half the sum we pour into the bottomless pit of our 'Inter'National Health Service.

Have we forgotten anything? Oh yes, the little factor of immigration. Including those born here of second and even third generation Afro-Caribbean or Asian immigrants, we have at least six million non-white citizens. Supported by the Liberal Democrats and previous Conservative Governments who did nothing to restrict the trickle that became a flood, Blair's Labour told us that a multicultural society was the way ahead.

Even Trevor Phillips of the Commission for Racial Equality has told us that this is just not working, and many Labour politicians are beginning to agree when they see that the rapidly expanding Muslim community in particular, have set up a parallel society to our own.

Liberal Conservative

The disillusionment that in consequence has set in among former Labour voters has not produced any real swing to the Tories. A report in the *Independent*, 9.9.06, quoted David Sparks, leader of the Labour opposition on Dudley Council as saying: "We are fighting a life or death struggle against the British National Party here." This indicates the direction where former Labour voters are going. The few who are going to the Conservatives will be compensated by those leaving the Cameronites to support the BNP or UKIP. Why has this come about, with the long-established



official opposition failing to capitalise on New Labour's misfortunes?

David Cameron owes his leadership of the Conservative Party to a well-delivered, youthful speech at the 2005 Party Conference. Its policy-free glitter stemmed from his expertise as a PR guru, the only job he has had in his life. Subsequently the Tory rank and file rejected the chance to install David Davies, an uncharismatic but honest man who would have maintained some clear water between the Tories, New Labour and the Lib Dems. As the Shameron said at this year's Party Conference "I am not 'neo-Conservative' but a 'liberal Conservative'."

The electorate may not be deeply informed on every issue of the day, but they have enough common sense to see that on all the issues that are affecting our lives how can there be any change when all three major parties have drifted to the left of centre with hardly a whisker of difference between them? From the outset, Cameron made it clear that he too would be wooing the immigrant vote. He may want to turn the nation green but ignores that its population is turning brown. His latest comment was made last month on a visit to Scotland when he said that "the gaps in the labour market are, very naturally, being filled by migrant workers. That in itself, is a good thing, not a bad thing." It is certainly a good thing if the objective is to force down wages. Of course, the Tories know a thing or two about that, as previous party leader Michael Howard, now European chairman of the risk management firm Dilligence, made clear in an interview with the *Daily Telegraph* last month. "We live in an age of globalisation," he said. "That is a tremendously good thing."

No wonder that speaking in Yorkshire in September, Dave boy said: "I am deeply worried by the small but significant gains that the British National Party is making in local election here in Yorkshire". Yes, he had better be worried, and not just in Yorkshire.

John Bean



» "The sound you hear is of screeching brakes and the smell of burning rubber. Not since Starsky and Hutch sped off into the sunset has there been such a profusion of spectacular handbrake turns.... Reading the papers these days is like being a witness at one long show trial as Left-wing politicians line up to recant just about everything they've ever believed in."

Thus Richard Littlejohn, writing in the *Daily Mail* about the wave of top level New Labour criticisms of icons of Islamism such as the veil, pronouncements by the likes of Frank Field on the 'dangers' of mass immigration, and the multi-culturalism that they have finally realised is turning Britain into a semi-apartheid state.

Littlejohn - for all that he is no friend of ours - is too sharp a political analyst to be fooled by the Blairite flip-flop, concluding as he does that "What we're seeing is a bunch of cheap headlines and 'eye-catching' initiatives because Labour is rattled and worried about losing the next general election". He sees no prospect of real change: "The Guardianistas who run all our

institutions from the police to the adoption service aren't going to make themselves redundant."

But window dressing though it undoubtedly is at one level, the shift in the political situation that we have witnessed in the last few weeks is astounding, and will have a far bigger impact than is either intended or expected by the Downing Street spin-machine.

No one should be fooled into thinking that any of this will lead to serious policy changes which might begin to deal with the root cause of the whole Islamic danger - continued mass immigration from failed Islamic states, the high birth-rate among Muslims living in Britain, and the radicalisation of an ever-growing section of their community here. But in terms of psychology and shifting the limits of political discourse, the change is immense. Peter Obourne, writing in the *Daily Mail*, summed it all up perfectly:

"Great sea changes of thought or opinion are rare in British public life, taking place perhaps only once or twice in a generation.

But there is abundant evidence that we are undergoing one now.

Until only a few months ago, mainstream British politicians were extremely cautious about articulating the fears and resentments felt by many ordinary people on the subject of mass immigration.

Those who spoke out publicly (Enoch Powell's 'rivers of blood' speech is the notorious example) were ostracised. Political parties which raised the issue were thrust beyond the outer margins of debate - the fate of the National Front and the BNP.

This self-restraint has now vanished. Practically every day for the past two weeks, another minister has insulted the customs, habits or religious beliefs of Britain's Muslim minority."

He's right, of course, so what on earth is going on? Is it just about shoring up the Labour vote and stopping the Tories winning the next general election? No, it's not; there's much more to it all than that.

After all, the main parties have always had the option of snatching general elections from their

***'Multi-racial experiment'.... 'multi-cultural society'.... forced integration....
Nick Griffin explains how New Labour is on***

The Road To Hell

Establishment rivals by playing the 'race card'. But, with the exception of the hand played by Margaret Thatcher in the run-up to 1979, none of them ever has. So why are New Labour - in social, constitutional, cultural and ethnic terms the most revolutionary leftist administration in British history - now playing the Ace of Spades?

LOSING SEATS TO US

Because, it is very clear, their huge network of 2,000 private focus groups is telling them that they are no longer looking at the risk of losing several million votes to the Tories, but to the British National Party. It's not so much about losing seats, after the Barking Landslide, after seeing the BNP get more votes in Kirklees than any other party, it's about losing seats to *us*.

That's the thing they cannot have at any price; that's one of the two factors at the very heart of the most drastic U-turn in modern British political history.

So the next question has to be: Will their gamble work? Will the public be fooled and lulled back to sleep, or will the genie which is now out of the bottle

get bigger and bigger and run out of control?

There are two things which will decide this. The first is that the Government's venture into crude populist rhetoric about aspects of the Islamic problem may help to shore up their electoral fortunes for a short time (though, with the possible exception of Jack Straw's Blackburn, we detect no sign of this in our heartland areas at present). But if the newly raised expectations of ordinary voters are dashed, if the sloganising isn't turned into visible and effective action, then the end result of the new approach will be to legitimise our position and our policies. What is meant to shut us out may well end up opening the electoral door to us even more widely.

The second is the reaction of the Muslim community. How do all those verbal brickbats and promised measures make Muslims feel? After all, they're not renowned for their sense of humour or willingness to accept criticism.

Let's take a moment to recall some of the recent highlights of the New Labour campaign: "Universities Urged

To Spy On Muslims", was how *The Guardian* reported new Department of Education instructions on 16th October. "We'll Target Muslim Hotspots" screamed the front page of *The Mirror* on the same day, being outdone on the newsstands only by *The Express*, with its headline "The Veil Is Banned In Hospitals".

Day after day, week after week, mainstream politicians and sections of the media have piled in to attack some of the most visible and tender spots of Muslim sensibilities. Even BBC television news could in recent weeks have been retitled 'Muslims Behaving Badly'. It's no longer just about terrorist cells or Captain Hook, it's been Open Season on the whole community.

Not for everyone, of course. David Aaronovitch responded in *The Times* the day after the 'spying' story broke with an essay on how we must halt this 'sectarian' rabble-rousing and stop being nasty to Muslims. A few days later, left-wing playwright and *Searchlight* board member David Edgar co-signed a letter sent to the 'quality' broadsheets condemning sections of the media for running an

"She should be sacked. She has put herself in a position where she can't do her job. She cannot teach a classroom of children wearing a veil."

Phil Woolas, Labour MP for Oldham East



"At long last, the debate on Islamism as politics, not Islam as a religion, is out in the open. Two weeks ago Jack Straw might have felt that he was taking a risk when publishing his now notorious article on the Muslim veil. However, he was pushing at an open door. From across the political spectrum there is now common consent that the old multicultural emperor, before whom generations of politicians have made obeisance, is now a pitiful, naked sight."

Dennis MacShane, Labour MP for Rotherham



anti-Islamic propaganda drive.

But such exceptions can't overcome the general rule. No ethnic or religious community has been subjected to this level of concerted demonisation (or genuine exposure of its failings, have it your own way, for "one man's freedom fighter is another man's terrorist") in mainland Britain since Catholics in the aftermath of Guy Fawkes' Gunpowder Plot. How do you think it's making them feel?

Well, if you can't imagine, the white kids being bullied and beaten in the new 'integrated' super school in Burnley could tell you. The young squaddie beaten by a gang of Muslim minicab drivers in Leeds could tell you. Residents on either side of the front line in powderkeg Beeston - home of the leader of the 7/7 bombers - could tell you. The people living near every one of Britain's self-created created Muslim ghettos, who already see an increase in the number of Muslim women wearing the most extreme forms of the veil, could tell you.

A massive and irreversible tide of radicalisation and anger is already sweeping through the Muslim community, particularly among the young. Establishment complaints about the resistance of Muslims to integration have merely strengthened that resistance; the idea that the tendency can be undone by debate, persuasion and voluntarism is a dead duck.

Reading the small print of some Ministers' recent pronouncements, it is clear that the brighter ones among them already understand this. Phil Woolas, for example, has written openly of the need to impose 'forced integration'. The apparent mystery is how an intelligent man like him doesn't have the common sense to understand that forcing two mutually hostile communities to mix will create even more trouble than allowing them to be separate. Forced integration is nothing more or less than a recipe for resentment and friction that could only end in a perpetual, low intensity civil war.

Actually, there isn't any mystery in this at all. The simple fact is that Islam isn't the only irrational religious mania in this equation.

It is now well understood by sociobiologists and evolutionary psychiatrists that Mankind, collectively, is 'hardwired' for religious belief.

Believing in some higher power and purpose has clear evolutionary advantages in terms of equipping individuals and populations with the mental resilience to get through hard times. The precise form of such religious belief can vary widely, but it cannot be done away with.

In a generally secular society, and particularly among a self-consciously secular and agnostic/atheist 'elite', the need to feel and serve some higher purpose emerges in what may appear at first sight to be non-religious, non-supernatural ways. An 'elite' which no longer believes in Heaven 'up there' is particularly vulnerable to crank fantasies about building a Heaven 'down here'.

When such fantasies also provide a fig-leaf of moral respectability for grotesque profit maximisation strategies by Big Business, and empire building exercises by professional 'careers and facilitators' (that's 'town hall parasites' to thee and me and our fellow taxpayers), they rapidly burgeon into fully fledged secularist ideological manias.

The 'human equality' egalitarian fantasy which created the 'multi-racial experiment', reworked it as 'multi-culturalism' and which is now mutating before our eyes into forced integration, is a classic example of this phenomenon.

AGGRESSIVE

So when the chauvinistic and isolationist/aggressive impulses of Islam come up against and threaten to break the integrationist Melting Pot, the clash is not between mediaeval faith on one side and scientific rationalism on the other.

It's rather a collision between an ancient millennial fantasy which has become an established religion, and a modern cult every bit as daft and unrealistic as those which believe that 19th century snake oil salesmen were handed extra books of scripture by the Angel of the Lord in Dircreek, Oklahoma.

The fact that the adherents of the egalitarian cult have just realised that Islam is a major and rapidly growing roadblock along the path to their Fantasy Society explains the undignified rhetorical somersaults of recent weeks even more than concern about the potential growth of the BNP



vote.

Hence we see the former advocates of 'multi-culturalism' positively queuing up to portray it as some kind of inexplicable aberration which just appeared in the body politic (nothing to do with them, you understand). All of a sudden 'diversity' is going out of fashion; now everyone is going to be forced to fit the same mould and learn English, and Muslims are going to be pressured to drop the things that mark them out as, err, Muslims.

This is what lies behind the statement by Denis MacShane (*Daily Telegraph*, 17th October) that: "Islamist politics is now one of the most important issues for the future of democracy. Getting the answers right will define the world's future."

Incidentally, MacShane went on to say that "All main parties, other than the odious BNP, shun Islamophobia." "Main parties, other than..." One wonders whether that was a Freudian slip or whether the Right Honourable Member for Rotherham is now admitting openly that we're a 'main party'?

Either way, it's not just Labour MPs who are suddenly in favour of compulsory integration for the peasants. Lord Sandy Bruce-Lockhart, Tory chairman of the Local Government Association, is also championing the cause of forcibly integrating all state schools. No doubt his own grandchildren will be safe in



The Iraq war has lost Labour the Muslim block vote

expensive fee-paying establishments, protected by their family money from the consequences of this wicked old fool's proposed social engineering scheme. We must never let the Conservatives off the hook over this one.

That said, fortunately for us all, this new Big Idea from the people who brought us multi-culturalism is unlikely to survive the first wave of the coming Islamic Intifada in our streets of fire. I say 'fortunately' because genuine nationalists like us, who understand that integration is in reality a form of ethno-cultural genocide, do not want it at any price. All the other parties may think that everything would be hunky dory if only the Muslims would become Brown Britons or pretend to be English, but we do not.

We do not want significant alien 'minorities' to assimilate, as that would inevitably change our own traditional identity and culture beyond recognition, and, for that matter, theirs as well. We genuinely believe that human genetic and cultural diversity is as worthy of preservation as any other form of biological diversity, and therefore see the trend to an 'integrated', coffee-coloured, rootless global ant heap as a great tragedy for all human beings.

Unfortunately, however, while the coming Muslim Rebellion in our cities and towns will bring a swift end to New Labour's criticisms of Islamic separatism, it will lead to even more

Establishment pressure on the rest of us to grant Muslims more access to and power over the bastions of our society. From Church Schools to TV newscasters, from the make-up of the revamped House of Lords to the allocation of tax money, the next round of communal violence in this country will result in a wave of concessions to the Muslim minority.

'Forced integration' will rapidly be supplanted by surrender and the unspoken but increasing imposition on our people by our cowardly and treacherous Masters of *dhimmi* status - the Koranically sanctioned religious apartheid which provides limited protection for non-Muslims in an Islamic state, provided they submit without reservation to humiliation and extra taxes.

LATEST SPIN

But that's looking a little further into the future; we're not quite at that stage yet. First the indigenous electorate will have confirmed its well-founded suspicions that all the talk about 'clamping down' on veils and Islamist clerics is just another example of New Labour spin.

And, meanwhile, the residents of dozens of towns and cities already riven by ethno-religious tensions and continual low level harassment of and violence against white people, wait apprehensively to see whether Labour's cheap and inflammatory

words - tossed carelessly into streets that are already powder kegs - spark off the explosion that everyone knows is now only a question of 'when' rather than 'if'.

Anyone who doesn't quite understand why so many smears and dirty tricks are being wheeled out against the BNP at present - with every crank, liar, egotist and failure that it has ever been our misfortune to encounter being quoted and used by people far cleverer than these 'useful idiots' - only has to consider just how destabilising this cynical New Labour campaign is in order to understand why we're under such ferocious attack.

The Powers that Be are trying desperately to get us out of the way before the whole sorry mess blows up in their faces. It's up to all of us to do our bit to ensure that they fail and that we are properly organised to pick up the pieces.

Having started this article with a quote from a journalist who is no friend of ours, let's finish in the same way, giving the last word to the *Mail's* Peter Obourne:

"My guess is that Labour strategists have now calculated that the Muslim coalition of voters, which was so stalwartly behind the party in 1997 and 2001, is now lost for ever as a result of the Iraq War.

"Rather than try to win them back, Labour has cut its losses, and decided instead to stir up racial tension as a means of appealing directly to the white working-class vote. Labour activists tell me Jack Straw's remarks have proved 'incredibly resonant' on the doorstep.

"That said, it must be admitted that this is very clever stuff from Tony Blair. There is every sign the strategy is working and I am sure that Labour will continue to deploy what used to be called the race card right up to next year's May elections and beyond. But playing politics with Islam is reckless beyond belief.

"Tony Blair has allowed a campaign that is bound to undermine moderate Muslims and encourage extremism, whether from white supremacist parties like the BNP or within Islam itself.

"It is quite the nastiest and most irresponsible politics I have seen from a mainstream political party in my life, and we will all pay a horrible price for such cynical opportunism."



November 2006

Trafalgar Club



Trafalgar Club hosts
Nick & Suzy Cass

Trafalgar Club



National Treasurer John
Walker & his wife Julie




» Over 125 guests attended this year's Trafalgar Club evening which was set in the tranquil, attractive heartlands of one of the best conservation areas in West Yorkshire. The evening was set in an up-market hotel steeped in local history. Sitting 125 in a beautifully decorated function room, host couple Nick and Suzy Cass welcomed guests to the evening. Those guests staying at the hotel were full of praise for their four poster beds!

After the friendly DJ announced the food was served, people cued to tuck in to the Trafalgar Club buffet, and sweets. This was the only negative aspect of the evening, with the food not being quite up to what we expected. The sweets were superb but to be honest the buffet could and should have been a lot better. We apologise for this and will do all we can to rectify this next year.

After eating, host Nick Cass welcomed the guests and introduced the first of the evening's speakers, local stalwart Ian Kitchen. Ian spoke about the exclusive hotel's history, its fantastic facilities, its past owners and its grounds. He explained how the hotel was steeped in history, and how its previous owner was a true conservationist. The hotel was situated in one of the area's most beautiful parts with over 100 species of birds nesting within the grounds, and a lake filled with various fish.

Next to speak was local councillor Colin Auty who thanked all the members for their generous support, and outlined the valuable help they had given over previous years. After giving a brief example of his work in the Kirklees council chamber, Colin explained how their generosity had helped seal the breakthrough in places like Barking and Dagenham.

After Colin Auty, the next guest speaker of the evening was Alan Smith one of Great White Records directors. Alan's speech focussed on the ability of music to touch peoples emotions like no other form of communication can do. He thanked the TC members for their help in the set up costs for GWR equipment and



Party Chairman Nick Griffin
& his wife Jackie



16 Dinner 2006

promised a new more professional approach in the promotion of their products.

The final speaker before the raffle was drawn was Bradford councillor Paul Cromie. Paul outlined his commitment to the party and the hard work he is doing in Queensbury. As Paul began to get emotional, he typified what the party was all about. As he said: "Up until I joined the BNP I had about three people I could call friends, but now I am surrounded by friends and more importantly family."

Next, host Nick Cass thanked long time TC organiser Jean Griffin for her dedicated support and his wife Suzy presented her with a beautiful bouquet of flowers before drawing the raffle. The five different prizes were a pewter tankard made in Sheffield, locally produced chocolates, a Trafalgar Club memorial plate, Nelson's favourite drink - a bottle of 57% rum - and a superb painting of Nelson himself from a talented local BNP artist. Five happy winners picked their prizes.

After the raffle, party Chairman Nick Griffin gave everyone what they had been waiting for, with a rousing speech about our country's heroes, our battles ahead and what we must do as a party to take advantage of future situations. Mr Griffin thanked the continued dedication of TC members who helped the party financially before finishing and receiving a standing ovation.

With the speeches finished, master of ceremonies Nick Cass introduced the live musicians. Singing songs about Nelson and British heroes, our council duo of Colin Auty and Paul Cromie drew a large round of applause as they finished with a song dedicated to political prisoner Kevin Hughes.

The next morning saw around 40 members meet to take a tour around the National Mining Museum near Wakefield. Twenty at a time crammed into the cage as the lift descended 150 metres below the ground, to start the underground tour. After the hour and half tour, members met up in the café for a final lunch and farewell chat. See you all next year.



Great White Records
director Alan Smith





Who's He Trying to Kid?

» David Whitfield answers Labour MP Frank Field's attempt to undermine the BNP's faith in its leadership.

» Labour MP Frank Field's recent comments to the controlled media are typical of many Establishment figures these days. He argues that immigration must be curbed, not because of the horrific social and environmental cost, but because "the BNP might find a leader clever enough to exploit it."

It may be news to Mr Field, but we already have such a leader. His name is Nick Griffin and he is supported by a highly talented BNP Leadership Team (as John Bean explained in his August issue "Nationalist Notebook" feature). Frank Field's sneer was a transparent (and profoundly unoriginal) attempt to reassure his Establishment buddies that BNP leaders are not very bright, or to undermine the BNP's faith in its Chairman.

Moreover, Mr Field is sorely out of step with many of his own party colleagues, who for the past six years have been telling us how devilishly cunning and clever Nick Griffin is. Here's Labour MP Phil Woolas, for example, speaking in 2001:

"A very clever BNP Chairman Nick Griffin has



Frank Field, MP

played us all for fools...We now have a real problem. Griffin is very clever and his timing was brilliant."

We could quote any number of similar laments from Labour about BNP 'cleverness' since then. The Establishment seems to want it both ways; either we are Neanderthal morons, or we're too clever by half!

Looking at the hard facts rather than Labour's political hot air, Nick Griffin's achievements as BNP Chairman are nothing short of astonishing. Here are just a few of the highlights:

1999: In late Autumn, just before the dawn of the new Millennium, Nick Griffin becomes the first democratically elected leader of a British Nationalist movement. He rapidly turns a near moribund party into a modern, electable, power-winning machine. Membership rises rapidly and far more women and families feel comfortable joining the Party. It is significant that many of Nationalism's 'big names' soon join the new Leadership Team, including Martin Wingfield, Eddy Butler, Steve Blake and John Bean.

2000: Within months of winning the BNP leadership, Nick Griffin scores a major publicity coup in North West England by giving a political voice to beleaguered whites suffering race-attacks, riots, and unfair council policies in Oldham and Burnley. For a while, it is hard to switch on a TV or Radio news programme without hearing Nick or another BNP spokesman locking horns with Jeremy Paxman or John Humphries - prompting Trade Union commissar Bill Morris to complain that the BBC was becoming "the house journal of the BNP...Nick Griffin is on Radio Four more than the Prime Minister." Quite an achievement for a party previously given the 'silent treatment' by the controlled media.

2001: In June, hard work and savvy campaigning is



rewarded with the Party's best ever General Election results, including a 16.4 per cent vote for Nick in Oldham and 11.3 per cent in Burnley. Again, the controlled media cannot help but grudgingly acknowledge a major boost in BNP credibility.

2002: The Party builds on the previous year's General Election results to win three council seats - its first electoral success in nine years. Unlike our brief victory in 1993 however, this time it is sustainable, backed by in-depth community politics. Other victories follow swiftly. In October Steve Batkin comes within a whisker of winning the Mayoral election in Stoke; in November a campaign run by Nick Griffin personally we win a seat on Blackburn Council.

2003: In February, we gain a council seat in Halifax. Now they cannot say we are just a 'Lancashire' phenomenon. Our next big test is the full council elections in May can we maintain our momentum? Thirteen further wins remove any doubt! Growth is reflected not only in the seats won, but sheer number of candidates, 220 compared with 68 the previous year.

2004: Enemy parties are alarmed at BNP potential in European Elections. Blair's own pollsters predict the Party picking up seventeen percent of the vote and half a dozen seats. The government changes the date of the local elections to the same day as the Euro poll, to prevent us using local success as a springboard to Euro seats. For the first time since the Parliamentary Reform Act, the secret ballot is abolished, postal voting is introduced to enable paid government agents to 'persuade' electors to hand over blank ballots at the doorstep. Even the controlled media report massive fraud and intimidation. Bogus 'nationalists' UKIP are puffed by the government and Tory opposition to draw votes away from the BNP. The above antics ensure the Party narrowly misses seats in

the European Parliament and London Assembly. This is a great disappointment, and a test for Nick Griffin's ability to rally the troops in defeat. He stresses the fact we won a million votes, and turns the lost battle into a strategic victory by arguing for a reduced number of candidates in the forthcoming General Election.

2005: General Election. By refusing to overstretch itself with a full slate of candidates, the Party saves a record 34 deposits, defeats UKIP and wins its best ever result in a parliamentary seat - 16.9 per cent in Barking.

2006: An extraordinary year for the BNP. This may well mark the turning point in British politics, in the same way that 1987 signalled the beginning of the end for Soviet communism. On 21st April, a poll for the *Daily Telegraph* indicates substantial support for the BNP. Swiftly followed by government minister Margaret Hodge's comment that eight out of ten white people in her Barking constituency are thinking of switching to the BNP. On 4th May, the BNP's 356 candidates win a staggering 18.5 per cent of the vote almost one in five of the electorate. In our wards in London, one in four people vote BNP. The Party's total number of councillors rises to 53.

The amazing opinion polls and local election results in 2006 have not happened by accident, nor are they simply the 'inevitable' public backlash against immigration - after all, the USA has an even worse immigration problem than we have, but with no equivalent of the BNP. The BNP's success is down to Nick Griffin, his leadership team colleagues, and the hard work and courage of hundreds of BNP activists. Moreover, the BNP has succeeded in setting the political agenda on the migration issue, to the extent that Establishment politicians are being forced to mimic BNP views or face electoral annihilation.

Frank Field is right about one thing: Thanks to the BNP, multiculturalism is dead in the water. It's as passé as chicken in a basket. Let's thank Nick Griffin for that.



British National Lottery

Christmas Draw

The draw date is Saturday 16th December 2006 and tickets are available now. Members will automatically receive a book of ten tickets in their *British Nationalist* members' bulletin but extra copies can be ordered by calling 07077 820263 or 01463 793298 or e-mailing bnl@bnp.org.uk (UK resident non-members may also order quantities in the same manner).

1st Prize

Solid Silver Ingot worth £500

2nd Prize: £100 Cash, 3rd Prize: A year's Subscription to ID and VoF
4th Prize: 5 copies of Great White Record's latest release



The Enemy Within

» There have been terrible times in the past when evil has seemed to have won, whole epochs when mankind has been bowed and bloodied by the tyranny of malign forces. At such times, first England, then later the United Kingdom has shone forth as a beacon of hope for free peoples everywhere.

Never before, however, have groupings of wicked people had such a high degree of advanced technology and material progress at their command. Never before have these forces been able to muster the human consciousness at large and couple it with sheer global economic and political control mechanisms which obliterate the voice of the moral savant, rendering null his insights and guidance. In this age, unlike any other, we observe human spiritual degradation embracing entire regions, made possible by the cunning use of technological advances on a global scale.

It has always been Britain's role to be at the forefront of the great political, social, industrial, cultural and economic developments which have swept across the world, altering and generally advancing mankind's consciousness, bringing region after region into a global family in which

common values and aspirations became increasingly shared. Historically, Britain has, more than any other nation, changed the entire world. Once we led the world in the march towards Liberty - a recognition of human dignity, a guarantee of equality before the law - coupled with a seemingly infinite material advance, stemming from our leadership of the Industrial Revolution which would benefit generations to come.

Through the medium of our political empire abroad, and through the cultural and economic influences we exerted via our extensive trade links and investments across the world, we disseminated a concept of freedom which was able to unite two diametrically opposed ideas for the benefit of society: the first of which was that there were to be no limits imposed upon mankind's right to think, speak and write what he chose (other than the limitations of the laws of slander, libel and sedition). These freedoms of thought and expression were buttressed by the absolute sanctity of a person and his property, regardless of his station in life, guaranteed by law.

The second of these ideas was that each person is bound to take responsibility for his actions, considering not only their potential

» *Robert Dewar describes the struggle that the British people must take against the dark forces within the Establishment which are bent on destroying our national and Western identity*

consequences upon himself and his immediate family, but upon society at large, and it was these considerations which in practice held anarchy at bay, uniting disparate social and even ethnic groupings in their strivings towards the achievement of a uniquely cohesive and compassionate society in which one's common humanity was recognised.

CONCERN FOR OTHERS

Britain's historic mission (expressed in fits and starts, with many dead ends and some periods of violent levelling), has been to ennoble mankind, teaching our fellows that mutual tolerance in the light of a compassionate concern extending beyond our immediate social and cultural alliances is the key to bringing order to all our endeavours. Our British Family became in the process a people who had resolved the inherent paradox of our own national temperament: on the one hand our propensity to devastating group violence (how good we have always been at waging war!), and on the other our concern for others, extending even - and uniquely amongst cultures - towards the animal kingdom.

It is the development of this second

strand within our national temperament which has brought us to the point where we Men of the West (to use an expression favoured by Nick Griffin in his writings), but particularly we British peoples, face racial extinction.

(For even the most optimistic figures, generally accepted by demographers, based on the study of present statistical trends, indicate that the native-born Briton is to become a minority within his own land within a mere 30 to 50 years).

This very British concern for others (for their feelings) evolved over time to become a profound philosophy of justice and fair play, which we have historically extended (again with some localised exceptions) to newcomers to our land. We hesitate to hurt their feelings, to make them feel less than we. Unfortunately, since the Second World War this gentle face of the British temperament has been hijacked by a malign, left-wing *coterie* and perverted to become the poisonous political correctness of today, in which we place the rights and cultures of alien newcomers above our own; in which we apologise constantly for the historical fact of empire, for the tremendous influence for good we have had on all the world's peoples.

The degenerate left-wing liberals characterised by the "Hampstead" and "Islington" sets and (in the case of the New-Conservatives) by the Notting Hill Crowd, have made this Apologia into a twisted philosophy of middle class guilt, in which by extension, we native British, our history, our institutions, our culture and our society, are seen as morally flawed. So we are obliged to efface ourselves in the face of alien cultures taking uninvited root in our land.

Thus our very nature has been perverted over the last sixty years or so, turned against ourselves, and is today used to bring about the destruction of our ancient liberties, the erosion of our society, and the extinction of our very race amidst immigrant populations who are fast out-breeding us, and whose cultures are utterly at odds with our own. Just as the Celtic World in Britain was eclipsed by the Anglo-Saxons between the fifth and the seventh centuries AD, so we are witnessing the eclipse of our own peoples in this century, particularly that of the English.

The generally only partially-educated middle classes in Britain have been indoctrinated with political correctness to such a degree that they are frightened (at least those who think about our viability as a nation at all) to speak out against untrammelled immigration, to lament the loss of our native cultures, to deplore the whole-scale displacement of entire communities of British people by malign alien cultures. We dare not express even a purely personal dislike (based on any reason *but* "race"), of someone of another ethnic or national group in Britain today, for the courts will prosecute us for incitement to racial hatred; the law now obliges us to like the very peoples who are threatening to destroy us.


Those members of the working classes who never read a newspaper and who do not watch serious television, and who may have no coherent or rational views to express on these issues, nevertheless possess a visceral anger which is non-analytical and mainly inarticulate. If expressed at all (for the working class has become for the most part so "de-tribalised", so lacking in cultural self-awareness, that it is no longer a clear and vibrant force within society) it as an incoherent anger against "Them". "Them" can be taken to mean the immigrant groups who are destroying our society, as much as it can mean a home-grown political class.

Our great gift to the world, the love of Lib

erty, has seen us develop a powerful individualism, which has itself become perverted as a result of more than forty years of left-wing education in which the teaching of moral restraint and self control has been abandoned, and social obligations are mocked. Today it has become a grasping, shallow, self-serving quest for immediate self-gratification, no matter the cost to society at large; a celebration of the baser self to the complete exclusion of any sense of communal or social obligation. We have abandoned our individual morality, become a nation of libertines, made ignorant by inadequate education, lusting after material acquisition, in the process running up the largest level of personal debt in the entire EU.

We no longer have a cohesive national belief system underpinned by Christian ethics and morality to turn us aside from our worst excesses. Every man has become an island in Britain, alienated as much from his self as from his community and his nation. So we see this devilish development working hand in glove with the perversion of what was once good in us as a people, to bring about our own eradication.

We are engaged in national suicide. Technological advances, especially in the field of the electronic collection, storage and



'Them'



The Empire of the European Union could become a greater tyranny than that of Rome

access of personal data by government and its agencies, have been gleefully seized upon as a weapon by the enemies of Freedom, to seek to enslave us, to bind us even closer to the political forces which, embracing all parties bar the BNP in Britain, are engaged in a massive exercise of national suicide.

Since 1974 our political leaders have single-mindedly set about betraying our sovereignty, signing treaty after irrevocable treaty with the faceless, unelected Powers in Brussels, so that our nation (the historic bulwark of liberty), should be extinguished, that the truly malign forces which direct and inspire the leaders of the European Union may triumph in their quest to gain total control over all and every aspect of our lives (this control facilitated by total surveillance and mass-regimentation), uniting the peoples of Europe under a tyranny greater than anything known since the Roman Empire, but infinitely more dangerous. The Romans were ultimately limited by a technology which had advanced no further than the exploitation of collective manual labour on a massive scale. Our technological achievements today render limitless the potential for the spiritual destruction of the entire European race.

We in Britain are co-operating on all levels with this terrible evil; bereft of ideals, robbed of a coherent national belief system, willing participants in the

degradation of our spirits through participation in the insatiable quest for material and sensual gratification; crippled by a perverted sense of "fair play" which sees us co-operate in the overwhelming of our own race by wicked alien cultures now firmly planted in our midst. We have spurned the values which were once inculcated in us by adherence to Christian morality and made manifest via traditional methods of education now mocked as paternalistic and condescending. We are racing towards our own destruction.

First we participate in the erosion of our culture and traditional values by signing up to that perversion of national consciousness known as Political Correctness. Then we learn to apologise for our own glorious history, and to seek the forgiveness of those who were not long ago our pupils, and who owe their very freedom to us. Next, we collude in the destruction of the inner spirit within us by an unquestioning participation in this vicious culture of material gratification.

DON'T BE FOOLED

Finally, we wrap up the entire deal in the alienation of our sovereignty to a political force which seeks to homogenise all of Europe, imposing a tyranny upon its peoples which will unite us as one beneath a terrible darkness.

Do not be fooled by the political

Establishment's latest 'initiatives', by the fine-sounding commissions being proposed to tackle the issues of a discredited multiculturalism and unlimited immigration. The reality is that Government in Britain does *not* want to hear from those of us who see that only the British National Party (with its deep insights into the true nature of the threats and challenges which are faced not only by the British people, but by all the Free Peoples of the World) offers us social, communal, political and ultimately, racial salvation. Our views will be derided as "Racist", "Extremist", and we will be prosecuted under the Law. The smokescreens now being "debated" (was there ever so misused a word?) in the media are only that; smokescreens for a concerted effort by the Establishment to side-line what it sees as a dangerous trend of late to question the very legitimacy of the policies which underpin its un-stated philosophy of national suicide.

Do not doubt that we are led by evil men and women, possessed of a collective cunning, who would bring about our destruction as a nation and a race. In this the three major political parties are as one. Only the British National Party can save Britain and its native peoples from no less than individual destruction of the spirit, and mass extinction as a Race. Only the BNP can ensure that a free Britain survives as a beacon of hope for people everywhere.

Answering Church Attacks Upon The BNP

» BNP member Andrew Vernon gives a good example of how practising Christians can politely correct their priests, vicars, pastors, when they indulge in PC attacks upon the BNP

Dear Fr. Brian,

Though I have the greatest respect for you as a priest, I feel compelled to challenge the political content of two recent sermons in which you castigated the British National Party, an organisation which is fast growing and which articulates a legitimate point of view, however unwelcome.


Why should the British National Party be the object of your opprobrium? Was it BNP members who blew up the Twin Towers, murdered innocent citizens in London, rioted and caused mayhem over a few cartoons, or threatened and insulted Mass goes at Westminster Cathedral last week, to say nothing of the insults hurled at the holy Father himself? Not a bit of it - so why no denunciation of the perpetrators of these insane blasphemies? I think we both know the answer to this one too!

You rightly enjoin us to obey the Gospel message of welcoming the stranger, but the noun is always in the singular; nowhere is the injunction to make way for limitless millions, most of whom - unlike the Gospel stranger - enter the UK as colonists, despise our laws, customs and religion, and seek in the fullness of time (current demographics continuing) to impose their own tyrannical systems upon the hapless natives.

And the Church requires us to welcome *any number* of strangers - any featherless biped from anywhere is to find a home and a job in this already overcrowded island. To what purpose? Is this a final Revelation to demand that we, the unfortunate and involuntary hosts to this migration without parallel, are to hand over our homes and employment and be ethnically cleansed into genocidal oblivion? Or are we to say: *enough is enough* as the BNP clearly warns - and save thereby the Goose that lays the Third World's golden egg? For if Britain descends into chaos and inter-tribal civil war, what benefit can that be to black, brown, or white?

I am no racist and regard my ethnic co-religionists as fellow citizens, because we all share the same system, based upon our common Christian values, bequeathed to us by a Church which in times past, fought to preserve that priceless inheritance. It is of course a matter of historical fact that at least half of the former Christian Roman Empire has been overrun by Islam - that religion of peace - and now the very heartlands of Europe are being penetrated. We may regard this as "cultural diversity" but our Enemy regards it as conquest.

Foremost of the Christian virtues is a belief in Justice, and I am deeply concerned to see the white working class



of this nation deprived of its legitimate right of self-preservation and survival. Big Business has forced mass immigration upon the poorest of our community, and they have been its principal unwilling victims. No democratic mandate has ever legitimised this wholesale transformation of our inner cities; debate has been stifled by a mass of punitive legislation seeking to demonise resistance.

In seeking to justify the Capitalists' greed for cheap labour, the Church is doing irreparable injustice to those who do not dwell in leafy suburbs, but who see their accustomed habitations changing beyond recognition and find themselves in cut-throat competition for jobs with a never-ending flood of thankless migrants.

It is wrong, unjust, undemocratic, and a recipe for social and demographic disaster.

The writing is on the wall, and Enoch Powell translated it correctly.

I write these words in pain, for the matters in question are as complex as they are profound. What the answer is, I know not and though I will of course assist the stranger, I cannot welcome his tribe, for to do so is to connive at my own destruction, and a mortal sin is suicide.

Respectfully Yours,
Andrew Vernon.



Why You Should Vote British National Party

» This article, under the above heading, was first published in the Nottingham University Students' Union newspaper "The Sanctuary" in November 2005, and also in Phil Edwards' Doc's Diary column on the BNP website. It is republished here particularly for the benefit of new readers and also for those who may be at a loss to understand the true origins of the British people.


An Historical Aspect

Members of homo sapiens - the 'human race' - evolved naturally in isolated groups for thousands of generations in remote parts of the world, separated by natural barriers - ocean, mountain, desert etc. This gave rise, under the Darwinian model, to genetic variation within groups and the creation of specific population groups (races), each with its own set of characteristics, cultures and within-group diversity.

The artificial (and unnatural) mass movement of populations which has only been underway at such a high rate for a couple of generations, is leading to the formation of unstable, artificial multiracial (and multicultural) societies where previously those societies had always been racially homogeneous, each with its native cultures and identities. Problems created by such a process exist for all societies but the British National Party exists to help prevent the destruction of the traditional culture and identity of our ancestral home land - the British isles.

Why Save This Culture and Identity?

Well, why not? If it ain't bust, don't fix it. It has created one of the world's most successful and inventive people, who have contributed much to art, music, science, technology, democracy etc. Genetic profiling using mitochondrial DNA, uniquely passed down the maternal line, has shown that 99% of people in the UK who have a maternal grandmother born here can trace their maternal ancestry back 6,000 years to the Neolithic era. After the last Ice Age some 15 to 20,000 years ago, when our island was still joined to continental Europe, the people who wandered around that continent were our ancestors, whose genome had been created by natural selection over the previous 100,000 years, after wandering out of sub-Saharan Africa, where homo sapiens is said to have originated, and then being exposed to harsh climatic selection pressures. This population group eventually formed the closely related tribes of Europe - the Vikings, Angles, Scots, Danes, Saxons, Celts etc - some of whom came to Britain, and because of close kinship, easily assimilating with the already settled population. This is a different picture to the



lie often repeated in the media (and schools) that "Britain is a nation of immigrants, a mongrel race". That is untrue: we are a racially homogeneous population. The last time we were invaded - in 1066 - by the Normans (who were descended from Vikings, i.e. Norsemen) - the invader was biologically the same as the existing population.

So What is Happening Now?

Whilst even the closest families have arguments and internal problems, by and large, populations which have shared ancestry, shared past, shared cultures, aspirations and social mores are more stable than artificially created populations. The promotion of 'diversity' is all around us, whether enforced ethnic diversity (a new and untested experience in the UK) is or is not in the best interests of the indigenous population, has not been debated. Indeed, when the BNP attempts to debate this we are called 'racists', 'haters', 'fascists' and so on. Yet, our opponents cannot show a model anywhere in the world where an artificially created, racially diverse society has succeeded. It must therefore be an experiment. The island of Fiji, the former Yugoslavia, Israel and Rwanda illustrate this point well. In Britain, the multiracial experiment has led to enormous misery, particularly amongst poor or working class people who have seen their neighbourhoods colonised by non-EU immigrants, many being ethnically cleansed from their towns and cities, yet if they complain about this to local politicians or the media, they are branded as 'racists'.

If a multiracial Britain was so wonderful, our people wouldn't have to be bullied, cajoled or blackmailed into



past, shared cultures, aspirations and social mores" which are more stable than artificially created populations, have remained in existence because wise rulers throughout the years have seen that when part of a Nation State, populations thrive better, people are happier and there is stability. When Nation States become too close, war and conflict have resulted, and so care has to be exercised in respecting each other's boundaries. Britain is a country close to civil war and racial meltdown. The media bosses are complicit with the government (both wish to flood Britain with immigrants mainly to maintain a pool of cheap labour) in suppressing the truth by failing to report the many outbreaks of simmering racial tensions and race riots in our inner cities - the truth of the failure of their 'experiment' has to be concealed from the electorate at all costs.

The BNP accepts that when foreigners have a skill to benefit Britain and which native people currently cannot supply; e.g. Asian chefs, those great black African athletes, or Indian or Chinese doctors and scientists, may have a role to play. Small numbers of alien people would cause little or no change in our traditional culture and identity. However, the situation which now exists is far from that: there are probably 10 or even 15 million non-West European people living in the UK, many here illegally, and very few contributing anything of value to society.

A recent Home Office report (*Migration and the Fiscal effect*) said that legal migrants contribute £2.5 billion more each year in taxes than they consume in benefits and hand outs. This figure is now routinely used by the enemies of Britain to promote more immigration, but it is a scam. The fact is that only a tiny number of migrants - eg Australian, Japanese or American financial or shipping tycoons - contribute a disproportionate amount of taxes and VAT whereas the rest are a net drain on the exchequer. Neither did the Home Office report take into account money spent on translators, educational costs etc.

Another report which said that immigrants are more economically active and have better academic qualifications than native Britons failed to point out that in both cases, the more economically and better qualified "immigrants" were white people from Australia, the USA or the EU. People from places like Africa and the Indian sub-continent were generally less active in the work place and had lower grade qualifications. It cannot be right to loot places like Africa and the Philippines of their doctors and nurses, neither is it appropriate to have too few medical school places and too many 'university' courses in tourism

accepting it by the gigantic, convoluted system of laws, diversity commissions, racial watchdog groups, and outreach comm-itees, all part of a clanking mechanism to regulate and control racial diversity - something that was meant to be a great strength but that has turned out to be horribly volatile and difficult to manage. People are so exhausted by this alleged source of strength that they run from it the first chance they get. That is why families, churches, clubs and private parties (and political parties - hence the BNP stays legal in allowing only people of the white European race to be members), which are not yet regulated by the government, are so racially homogeneous. Nothing could therefore be more obvious: diversity of race or tribe or language or religion are the main reasons people are killing each other all around the world. Just pick up a newspaper - diversity within the same territory leads to strife, not strength.

What can be done to avoid strife?

Those "populations which have shared ancestry, shared



and other Micky Mouse topics.

What Would the BNP do?

The BNP has a set of policies which start out with the concept of placing our national identity as paramount - everything evolves from this. Protection of UK manufacturing jobs by removing red tape, high business rates, the high level of general taxation required to run the dysfunctional multiracial society, and excessive health and safety regulations would help. (As would keeping the opt-out from the fatuous EU Working Time Directive). Stable employment for young men neutralises anti-social behaviour and returns them their role in society, as would our policy of compulsory national service. Immigration would be only on a strict necessity basis.

Importing Third World populations has brought with it many Third World problems - illegal and toxic drugs, diseases like TB, Ebola and HIV/AIDS, ritualistic murder, mugging, witchcraft, low average IQ (children of black African ancestry are unable to cope with our educational standards, resulting in poor GCSEs and unemployment), poverty and, a general feeling of alienation amongst native British people. The BNP would withdraw from the Geneva Convention on Refugees, set up shortly after WW2 to allow stateless Europeans sanctuary, NOT to allow all and sundry into the UK for a free ride.

The EU project is also powering globalisation as people from the former Soviet Union are allowed to enter



the UK and do our jobs for lower wages, which in effect, imports their poverty into our country. The BNP would withdraw from the EU project.

Education would be wrested from the left-wing neo-Marxists who have hijacked it for their class war and the promotion of egalitarianism. We reject the idea that the Left is entitled to institutionalised control of education and through this means, the ability to impose its ideas on the rest of the nation. We would require ideological balance on university faculties. The BNP would reintroduce grammar schools, staff at teacher training schools would face compulsory re-evaluation and the egalitarian and anti-British dogmas that have betrayed a generation (your generation, if you are reading this at university) will be removed and replaced with a commitment to competition, excellence and British culture.

BNP Aid Swedish Nationalists

» On 4th of September Mike Bell of Birmingham BNP and four other BNP members travelled to Stockholm after they were invited to help the National Demokraterna party and observe their methods. The Swedish Nationalists were contesting three elections all on the same day local, national and principality elections. Mike reports:

» We spent several days stuffing envelopes with postal forms, promotional cd's and leaflets, whilst chatting to several Swedish Nationalist counterparts. I was amazed how similar our countries are; we share the same ideals, have the same fears and concerns for our respective countries. In many schools in Sweden they no longer even teach Swedish!

Our group spent one of our days erecting signs around the local town and after a hard day's work we were treated to some home made cooking Swedish style. Our hosts eagerly looked after us and we felt most welcome.

On the Thursday we were invited along to attend an open air meeting in the high street of the local town. A crowd soon gathered to listen to the main speakers. There was a high police presence and many security men also present. I spoke at length to one of the policemen and he gave me the impression that free speech was vitally



important in Sweden and that is why public meetings were commonplace. I bravely took to the stand and delivered a speech on immigration in Britain which was appreciated by our hosts.

The government's motto was "Them before ourselves" and all the warning signs of our country's problem with asylum/immigration are rearing their ugly heads in Sweden too.

The good news is the National Demokraterna won a seat in the town of Nykvarm where we erected the signs and two seats in Sodertälje where we held the meeting.

They face the same struggles as the BNP. With this in mind these were pleasing results.



» Ian Buckley says:

It's Bye Bye Blair!

» The Blair reign began with actors outside Downing Street pretending to be celebrating members of the public; it ends with mega-death in Iraq and the usual, sad and squalid drip-drip of decay in Britain. Take your pick from the pot-pourri of delights: closed-down hospitals, 3,000 disappearing post offices and personal debts totalling £80 billion...and rising!

It is rare for this publication and this writer to have anything good to say about Rupert Murdoch. But even Murdoch has the decency to be embarrassed by the fawning antics of Brown and Blair. In an interview with *New Yorker* magazine entitled *Murdoch's Game*, Murdoch describes how these two senior politicians vie for his attention: "But Tony Blair and Gordon Brown, whenever I'm in town they say, 'Can't you come over for a cup of tea?'....And you have to be careful to have a cup of tea with them both, or they are very suspicious that you are lining up with the other one."

This wretched state of affairs is a direct consequence of allowing monetary values to supersede all others. Top politicians bow not only to Murdoch, but to any two-bit global capitalist with a few bob. They remind me of the eager-to-please besuited assistants in the big stores. A nice line in chat, but no substance - and the real decisions are made elsewhere.

The consequence of this fatal shift are all around us. This is a government which boasts an Education Secretary, Alan Johnson, who has himself not one educational qualification to his name. The little unfortunates he has responsibility over will have to go through an endless barrage of SATs and what not, in the belief that this will somehow enable them to 'compete' against imported cheap labour. But this fond hope is mocked by the presence of an education boss who has done very well for himself with just a minimal education.

WELCOME THE TURNIP-TRIMMERS

But even the 'intellectual' gurus of New Labour are quite hopeless. Take Will Hutton for example, whose recent writings have suggested that the indigenous British are lazy and work-shy. According to Hutton, we should try to be more like the imported Eastern European agricultural pickers and labourers, who he claims are the real economic success story of our times. Forget the closed-down steel mills, shipyards and mines, all is rosy now we have a few hundred Slavic turnip-trimmers in Lincolnshire,

Norfolk and Cambridgeshire!

This guru of tosh also believes that the only thing wrong with British industry is its need for proper 'branding'. No, Will, what is wrong with British industry is that there is hardly anything left of it. Large and medium sized firms are now invariably foreign-owned. So, to take but one recent example, German-owned Thames Water looked likely to be bought up by Qatar, but instead went to an Australian company.

It is all 'business as usual'; fog, mist, miasma, burglar alarms, squalid hospitals, junk food outlets for mind and body, derelict red-brick Methodist chapels juxtaposed with cuboid concrete hypermarkets. While the Blairites are very good at saving money via cutbacks or stealth taxes imposed on the disabled and pensioners, they are even better at wasting money in foreign wars.

Right now, thousands of fine young men are enduring First World War conditions of trench warfare in Afghanistan, in pursuit of no imaginable British interest whatever. The ignoramuses of New Labour will never have heard of the disastrous Afghan War of 1838-42, when there was just one survivor out of a British expeditionary force of almost 5,000. Incidentally, as a result of the US-UK intervention, the Afghan opium harvest has risen to new heights, thus resulting in more death and degradation from cheap heroin in Britain itself.

So bye-bye Blair! Thank you for nothing, and indeed less than nothing.

His whole career is yet another salutary lesson on how predatory capital has hollowed away the entire 'respectable' political class.

New E-mail Address

Please note that communications to the Editor can now be sent to: identity@bnp.org.uk as well as John Bean's personal address.

We are hard-pressed to retype articles for computer setting, so articles are more likely to be considered for publication if submitted as a Word document.



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Madonna's Fashion Accessory

What arrogance Madonna has shown in the manner in which she decided to 'adopt' 13 month-old David Banda from Malawi. It's rather like acquiring a fashion accessory for this fading showbiz celebrity. A cute little addition to the family in her West End fortress to take down to the equally well-guarded country estate at the weekend. Perhaps she will adopt, or acquire, an Eskimo for Christmas.

Human rights and children's groups and an Anglican bishop have questioned her legal and moral right to separate the child from his poor, widowed father, who was forced to put him in an orphanage. They also said that the court seems to have made a decision based on Madonna's wealth. The Rev Nicholas Henderson, Bishop Elect of the Anglican Diocese of Lake Malawi, added: "It is hard to understand why it is necessary for Madonna and her husband to remove a child from his father... and bringing him up in what will be an alien environment. This flies against Malawian government policy as well as what might be construed as natural justice." He said that Malawians are angry at "what looks like yet another example of Western and indeed American colonialism muscling in to 'do good', seemingly oblivious of local opinion and culture."

Instead of laying on all manner of future culture-clash problems for this African child, if Madonna really wants to help offset poverty in Malawi she could donate a million or two (dollars or pounds) to a proper agricultural programme geared to Malawi's requirements and capabilities.

Tata to British Steel

What a sorry state this nation has become. We gave the world the industrial revolution, which was founded on steel making in all its forms. Just four years ago the name of 'British Steel' disappeared when it joined forces with the leading Dutch steelmaker to form the new company, Corus. Britain still had the major say and, importantly, it still employed 24,000 people in Port Talbot, Scunthorpe and Rotherham. Now that Corus has been acquired by India's Tata Steel, these jobs are under threat: the latest potential victims of global capitalism. Tata has insisted that there were "no short-term plans for any relocation of plants" but was less explicit on job cuts. Ask some of the former employees of Tetley Tea, which is now owned by the Tata Group, what they think about that.

Tata Steel is now the world's 5th largest steelmaker. The largest is Arcelor Mittal, headed by Indian multimillionaire Lakshmi Mittal, which was formed in June of this year when Mittal acquired Arcelor with its steel mills in Spain, France and Luxembourg. Not a good sign for the future is that Mittal closed its Irish steel plant in August last year.

Lakshmi Mittal, who has a home in Hampstead, was

much in the news earlier this year over his £125,000 support for Labour at the last election. Interestingly, in November 2005 he obtained Blair's support to persuade Romania's Government to back his purchase of the state-owned steel company, Sidex.

The Tata Steel acquisition is the latest in a spate of foreign takeovers of UK companies. Earlier in the year Dubai Ports Worlds acquired P&O. The airports operator BAA, the high street bank Abbey and the mobile group 02 have all fallen into foreign hands in the last year.

Apart from this loss of manufacturing and service jobs, Ernst & Young (the world's second biggest auditors group) has warned that high-value accountancy and audit work is rapidly moving overseas and called for more research into the impact of globalisation on Britain's service sector.

The Daily Telegraph, 20.10.06, reported that Mark Otty, Ernst & Young's UK chairman, said that work was leaving because UK foreign companies were increasingly taking over their British counterparts. "A lot of the work is provided here because that's where the parent is. If the parent is based in the Middle East, India or China we will see a movement of work. We are seeing a lot of that going on," he added.

The firm's Indian operation is half the size of the UK business, but is expanding rapidly, Mr Otty said: "My expectation will be that in three to four years we will have more people in India than in the UK."

Our view is that we can expect no salvation from this haemorrhaging of Britain's manufacturing and commercial base to come from the Lib-Lab-Con circus, and certainly not from the liberal Cameroons who will 'conserve' nothing. If there is any political movement other than the BNP with the potential of saving this nation, please let me know.

Drain on Our Health Service

If you want to help to do something about the growth of Britain's new industry, Health Tourism, then we can recommend Healthwatch UK. On its website, www.healthwatchuk.co.uk, it states that because hundreds of thousands of people come to the UK each year with existing medical conditions to be treated without payment to the NHS, we must conclude that "Patricia Hewitt is unfit to be the Minister in charge".

As an example of this health tourism abuse of our money we would refer to a report by Sue Reid in the *Daily Mail* of 30.9.06 where at St. Mary's hospital in West London a photo was taken, and widely shown, of Prince William holding a new-born black baby, in the manner of his mother. The report revealed that the mother was one of hundreds of foreign women who arrive at that hospital every year to have their babies courtesy of what many nurses and doctors have now cynically named the International Health Service.

The report said: "The situation has grown so out-of-control that recently the eminent doctor in charge of

obstetrics and gynaecology at St. Mary's spoke out. Professor Lesley Regan said that the culture of health tourism was a massive problem in the hospital."

In most cases these mothers who started out as health tourists then stay here, with their babies, on assistance and housing benefits; Westminster Council is said to have 5000 such cases. Thus, we are actually paying for Afro-Asians to come here and outbreed us.

Compulsory Teaching on Slavery and Gay Rights

Schools are likely to be forced to take on board two new subjects. One is the history of the slave trade, and the other is "gay rights" to be taught at primary schools in the form of selected books that meet new "sexual orientation" laws.

If it were to be part of an overall view of history, then few would object to the inclusion of the evils of slavery, particularly if pupils were told that Britain led the way in 1807 for its abolition, with our Navy seeing that this was enforced throughout the world. This may get a passing reference in the syllabus.

For an objective study of slavery one should also include the little-known fact that several million Europeans, including those from the British Isles, were taken as slaves in the 16th and 17th centuries by slave traders operating out of the Moorish ports of North Africa. It is hardly likely that the Culture Minister, West Indian born David Lammy, had this in mind when he suggested this addition to history studies. From his earlier comments it is more likely that he would like to see us become more amenable to the idea of our paying compensation to descendants of African slaves taken to the West Indies. I wonder if descendants of Scottish slaves sent to the West Indies after the battle of Culloden would qualify?

Moving on to the 'gay rights' issue it is suggested that children would have to read books such as *Hello Sailor*, *The Sissy Duckling* and *Daddy's New Roommate*, which are on a Government-recommended reading list for challenging 'homophobia'.

It is significant that this new regulation has been announced at the same time as the Government's plan, fully backed by Cameron Conservatives, to force Church schools to take up to 25% 'other faiths'. Faith organisations are saying they should be exempt because they would no longer be free to take the view that homosexuality is sinful.

We have no objection to the removal of legislation that existed pre-1968 that prevented homosexuals doing what they wanted in private, but this is proselytising and we fully support Colin Hart, director of The Christian Institute, when he said: "The thought that new regulations could make these books compulsory is outrageous."

Why They Are Gunning for the General

It is possible that by the time this issue of *Identity* is published General Sir Richard Bannatt will no longer be Britain's Chief of the General Staff. With the one-time

Lib Dem leader Paddy Pantsdown well in the fore, a campaign has been conducted by certain establishment figures for the General to be sacked for political interference by allegedly attacking Labour's policy in Iraq and Afghanistan.

In his interview in *The Daily Mail* General Bannatt lambasted Tony Blair's desire to forge a "liberal democracy" in Iraq as a "naïve" failure and warned that "whatever consent we may have had in the first place" from the Iraqi people "has largely turned to intolerance." He added that in regard to the Iraqi conflict we should "get ourselves out sometime soon because our presence exacerbates the security problems."

Now if you read between the lines, what is really annoying the General's Establishment critics is not so much for saying what most of them have also said about the Iraq adventure, but for his observations on Christian values and the threat posed to them by Islam.

In his *Daily Mail* interview he strongly criticised the undermining of the Christian traditions which have been a key factor in the development of British society for centuries. He said: "When I see the Islamist threat in this country I hope it doesn't make undue progress because there is a moral and spiritual vacuum in this country."

He added: "We can't wish the Islamist challenge to our society away and I believe that the army both in Iraq and Afghanistan and probably wherever we go next, is fighting the foreign dimension of the challenge to our accepted way of life. We need to face up to the Islamist threat, to those who act in the name of Islam and in a perverted way try to impose Islam by force on societies that do not wish it."

Voting Scandal Puts Britain in the Dock

It seems highly likely that some form of justice will now catch up with the postal voting scandals in Birmingham and several Northern towns and cities at recent elections. A fact-finding team from the Council of Europe (not connected with the EU) will be visiting vote-rigging hotspots, including Birmingham, Coventry and several Yorkshire constituencies next month. Herta Daubler-Gmelin, a former German Justice Minister and socialist MP, and Urszula Gacek, a centre-right Polish senator, have been appointed to conduct the inquiry.

Holding free elections is an obligation for council members. The final straw for Britain was the decision by a judge last year that widespread vote-rigging by Labour in Birmingham's local elections "would disgrace a banana republic."

In a motion the Council of Europe complained of "the growing body of evidence that widespread absent vote fraud is taking place in the UK. Such cheating would breach the European Convention on Human Rights, which requires nations to "hold free elections.... Which will ensure the free expression of the opinion of the people in the choice of the legislature."

As to be expected, Labour's Constitutional Affairs Minister, Bridget Prentice reacted angrily at this further exposure of the vote-rigging scandal, saying that the move was unnecessary. Is this because it deprived at least two BNP candidates of council seats?

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Oppose New Mosques

The BNP's effort to fight back against the colonisation of Britain is nothing more than a defensive reaction to protect the interests and identity of our people. The globalist media inevitably try to characterise any such BNP activity as an "attack" on Muslim people, or at least an attempt to "stir up trouble". To make it absurd for the media to do this, the BNP needs a way to campaign actively around the country so that it looks purely reactive instead of proactive. The British public will always have more instinctive sympathy with someone reacting to an attack rather than someone initiating one.

To do this effectively around the country, I would suggest that the BNP starts specific local campaigns where it is proposed to build a mosque, or convert a church into a mosque. In most people's minds the proposal to open a mosque in their area is perceived as an act of cultural intrusion, or even aggression, meriting a strong response. Their latent opposition is a feeling deeply reinforced by the NIMBY effect (not in my back yard).

Therefore, by opposing EVERY new mosque the BNP can mount successful community-based campaigns that look purely defensive rather than aggressive, while at the same time binding the party closer with local people. This will emphasise the one great truth, that the BNP is the only party opposing the aggressive demographic and cultural colonisation of Britain.

Colin Liddell
Irvine

>> New Labour's McCarthyism

Who would have believed that 'MacCarthyism', the scourge of late-forties America, would be alive and doing very well in 21st Century's New Labour Britain?

One BNP activist in prison - his crime, not of violence or dishonesty, but just speaking his mind in public, yet given a longer sentence than those causing death by dangerous driving.

Another activist found guilty in Swansea of shouting abuse at an Asian, although the victim was never found or identified, and the prosecuting witness who said she heard the abuse being uttered later admitting she was not sure what he really said!

Then an elected Councillor hounded from his employment just

for being a BNP member.

Yet another member hounded from her job for stating she wanted to be a prospective BNP Parliamentary candidate.

Did democracy and free speech die the day Labour took office in 1997?

The answer must be 'Yes', unless you are an Islamic extremist preaching racial and religious hatred on the streets of London; then no charges will be laid against you in the interests of multiculturalism.

This was proved when a Muslim called for the execution of Pope Benedict 16th outside Westminster Cathedral, terrifying worshippers.

D.S. Boyes
Leeds

There are no indications at all that a Cameron-style Conservative

government would be any more democratic. - Editor

>> Invisible Ideological War

Your editor sets an inspiring example of dedication that is bringing about a change in the collective political climate of this country. You are rising at the right moment in history. The way things are slipping, tomorrow would be too late.

The scales are being tilted in favour of Muslims and the enemies of democracy, secularism and tolerance, that were the hallmark of British society until recently. The new ideological war is *invisible* but more deadly in the end result.

Rajinder Singh
E-mail

>> 'Multicultural Madness'

Just received the following from an old mate of mine serving in Afghanistan:

"If it was possible to explore our future you could do worse than to see my present view. It's a place where people have little regard for one another, a dirty place where your street become a toilet and the general feel is of degradation and no hope.

"Over the years we as a nation have had our warnings that anybody who stands up to the incoming tide is scoffed at and in danger of being swept away. This fate befell such people as Charles Darwin, or the forward thinkers who tried in vain to explain that our planet was indeed a sphere and not flat. They were dismissed as 'nutters' at the time, but now no one would scoff at the theory of evolution or would say that the planet was flat.

"Yet we are still told that the multicultural roller coaster ride we boarded over fifty years ago is our only future and we shall live out this

theory in harmony. In the very near future this will be seen as madness and people will ask 'how did we ever get into that mess?'

"I sit here in Kabul looking at Britain's future; our future and our children's future. Geographical location is the only difference and my view of a future Britain is bleak. As we still have the luxury to debate amongst ourselves we have only one choice. A choice that has been made by our forefathers over the years to put our people first, to ensure Britain will remain, and not morph into a foreign melting pot of cultures to our children's cost. Time to act, Britain."

Martin Roberts
Worcester

>> Police Support Find Country Lanes Dangerous

As we all know, the only real way to fight crime is to put coppers on the beat. But our well-paid police long ago decided that it was beneath their dignity to pound the streets. So we have to pay for a new force of second class foot patrols called Community Support Officers.

Now they are apparently refusing to do their job. As part of her beat in rural Gloucestershire, CSO Lesley Poole was given the task of patrolling the quiet lanes of the village of Cerney Wick. But Ms Poole declared that strolling along the narrow lanes was out of the question because there were no pavements. The result? The foot patrol has now been replaced by an occasional passing police car.

How long before the first Community Support Officer declares that walking the streets anywhere is too dangerous because there are too many thugs and crooks!

Dr Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen

>> Preserve Our Architectural Heritage

In recent *Identity* editorials we again see how at heart the Conservative party has little interest in conserving what is good. This year we have been celebrating the centenary of the birth of John Betjeman, the poet laureate who campaigned against the

destruction of our architectural heritage. He did sterling work.

Nothing illustrates the way the Conservatives, even back in 1961, had little concern for 'conserving' than the way the Macmillan government allowed the wrecking ball to destroy the superb Doric Euston station arch and hall. Fortunately Betjeman and the Victorian Society learned a lesson from this, and keeping their eye on the ball, averted a similar fate for the marvellous neo-Gothic St. Pancras station and hotel.

With our new 'relaxed' planning laws under New Labour (and Prescott still on the loose), the developers and wreckers look as though they are going to have another field day (or should it be a pig's breakfast?). The spirit of our national planning does not always extend to heritage or beauty even now. Did we take any lessons to heart back in the 60s and 70s? It seems not.

John Roberts
Wakefield

>> The Veil As A Political Tool

The veil was invented as a method of men suppressing womanly charms over and above the suppression that the Koran ordered. However, Muslim women in Britain and Europe have decided that the veil is also an effective political tool, designed to set their community apart from that of the Western societies in which they live, and also to threaten Westerners.

It's a bit like a right wing fanatic dressing up in an SS outfit and walking around London. Would we feel comfortable with this mode of dress? Would we defend such a person's right to wear this uniform?

The veil is a political message and its usage needs to be urgently addressed by politicians.

Ralph Ellis
Northwich

>> Muslim Abuse At Selly Oak Hospital

I am very angry about the incident at Selly Oak hospital involving a Muslim berating one of our fine servicemen. I spent several weeks there

having a knee op - as a civilian, I add. During that time I met and spoke to some wonderful servicemen and women.

What appalls me further is the nonsensical reaction from the Tory spokesman, Liam Fox, who blamed the hospital and suggested that servicemen shouldn't be in open wards and they should have their own wards. It was not the hospital that was at blame, or the security system, or any other excuse. The obvious problem was that it was the Muslim man who was solely to blame.

Seeing that many here share his view, was Liam Fox trying to protect the sensibilities of Muslims by suggesting ways of ensuring that they are not offended by the sight of our brave heroes?

Mike Bell
Birmingham

>> Help 'Combat Stress'

Could I please inform my fellow Nationalists of the work carried out by the Ex-Services Mental Welfare Society (Combat Stress).

Combat Stress was founded in 1919. It is the only charity to specialise in helping those of all ranks of the Armed Forces and Merchant Navy who are suffering from combat-related psychological injury, such as post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), caused by the traumatic events they have experienced in service. To date they have helped 85,000 veterans, currently they are helping 8,000 veterans by providing care with a unique lifeline.

Those *Identity* readers who may like to help may be interested in purchasing Yuletide/Christmas cards to help raise much needed funds. Tyrwhite House, Oakland Road, Leatherhead, Surrey KT22 0BX. Tel: 01372 841600. E-mail: contact us@combatstress.org.uk Website: www.combatstress.org.uk

Please also remember to continue to donate to the only party which really cares about our Armed Forces - the BNP!

P. J. O'Sullivan
Irish Guards (Rtd), Birmingham



November 2006

Nuclear Power

Advanced Nuclear Reactors

PART 2

» With the Peak Oil crisis signalling the end of cheap oil and gas, a BNP government would look to develop the full range of renewable energy sources, from wind power to solar energy. However, for non-intermittent, high power demand we must include nuclear power. Tony Holroyd concludes his report with a detailed look at the capabilities, and particularly the safety, of the latest advanced nuclear reactors.

» The new Generation IV reactors fulfil most of the ideals for replacement technologies to depleting fossil fuels. Most operate at a higher temperature than existing reactors (between 510 - 1000C) making them useful for thermo-chemical hydrogen production. Using thermo-chemical processes, it will be possible to convert nuclear heat directly into stored chemical energy, suitable for transport fuels. High temperature nuclear reactors will also be useful in converting coal and tar sands into synthetic crude oil, and will help to maintain oil production in the developed world, long after the Middle East has run dry.

Nuclear energy is therefore likely to be useful in the production of non-conventional fossil fuels that will cushion the decline of conventional oil supplies, following Global Oil Peak. New nuclear technologies will therefore allow the western world to develop a degree of energy independence without compromising economic abundance. This gives them a definite edge over renewable energy sources, which are limited and intermittent in their supply and are not available in the form that we need them.

Many of the new concepts are also breeder reactors with closed fuel cycles. This allows them to produce 50 times as much power from the same mass of uranium compared to existing reactors. All of the long-lived actinide nuclear wastes remain inside of the nuclear reactor until they fission. The only radioactive wastes generated are short-lived fission products, with a maximum half-life of just thirty years. These will return to background radiation levels in less than 300 years, meaning that no expensive geological storage facilities will be needed.

All of the generation IV reactor designs incorporate passive safety features, reducing the risk of core damage and radioactive release to less than once every ten million years. At present, the risk of death per year as a result of reactor accidents to the average member of the British public is about one in 10 million, about the same as being struck by lightning. Many of the generation 4 nuclear reactors designs, will have individual core damage frequencies of less than once every 10 million years. This will reduce the average fatal accident risk to a



uranium nitride. All long-lived actinide wastes are fully recycled back into the reactor, where they eventually fission or transmute into fissionable isotopes. The reactors therefore breed their own fuel and require only tiny amounts of uranium or thorium. Fuel will be reprocessed at regional or central reprocessing plants.

A wide range of reactor sizes is anticipated. At the small end, are nuclear batteries, with thermal power outputs of just a few megawatts - sufficient to power a small town. Nuclear batteries will be small self-contained units, which will run continuously for up to 30 years without refuelling, providing electricity and heat for district heating or thermo-chemical hydrogen production. The reactor cores will be compact and small. The US star reactor core will be a cylinder just three feet tall and two feet wide. Following shutdown at the end of core life, the entire reactor vessel will be removed and shipped back to a reprocessing facility. Larger reactors of up to 1400Mwe are also envisaged and these could function as fast breeder reactors with closed fuel cycles. Coolant temperatures are expected to be as high as 800C, which is suitable for hydrogen production.

The lead cooled fast reactors meet most of the six conditions for a replacement technology to fossil fuels. They can be produced in a range sizes and small reactors will be useful on a town level for district heating as well as producing electricity and hydrogen as a vehicle fuel on a larger scale. Most of the designs rely on natural convection cooling and are therefore inherently safe from a meltdown point of view. Many reactors are breeders and are therefore capable of breeding their own fuel from natural or depleted uranium and thorium. The reactors also use integral fuel cycles, reducing or eliminating the production of long-lived wastes. Most designs are predicted to produce power at a rate that is competitive with fossil fuels at present prices. (Reference 8)

Molten Salt Reactors

Perhaps the most interesting of the new reactor designs, is the molten salt reactor. The molten salt reactor was first conceived in the 1950s as part of the US nuclear power aircraft programme. The uranium and thorium

member of the public to 1 in a billion per year, even assuming a large increase in the total number of nuclear power plants.

GENERATION IV TECHNOLOGY

In February 2005, the UK, USA, Canada, France and Japan, signed an agreement to undertake joint research and development on six nuclear reactor technologies. These reactor concepts vary considerably from traditional designs. Most are fast reactors, which use high-speed neutrons to produce fission in extremely compact nuclear cores. Unlike traditional designs, most are also breeder reactors, which consume their own wastes and produce only short-lived fission products. Many operate at high temperatures, making them suitable for thermo-chemical hydrogen production.

Gas-cooled fast reactors

These reactors will operate at high temperatures, 850C, and will use helium as a coolant. The high temperature is useful for hydrogen production via a thermo-chemical process plant. In order to produce electricity, helium gas will pass directly

through a gas turbine. Fuels will include depleted uranium, natural uranium and thorium and the reactor will breed its own fuel. All spent fuel will be reprocessed on site and long-lived actinide wastes, like plutonium, will be recycled back into the fuel. This will minimise long-lived nuclear waste production.

The gas-cooled reactor therefore meets at least four of the six conditions identified, in terms of fuel use, waste generation and is expected to generate electricity, hydrogen and heat in an affordable way. Safety is difficult to quantify until the passive and active safety features of the final design can be evaluated. Whether or not it will be possible or practical to use the spent fuel for nuclear weapons production will depend upon the fuel cycle chemistry. (Reference 7)

Lead Cooled Fast Reactors

These reactors rely upon liquid metal as a coolant; both liquid lead or lead-bismuth eutectic alloy and they cool by natural convection, making them passively safe. The liquid lead is also chemically stable, meaning that the coolant will not react violently with water or the outside air. The fuel is either depleted uranium metal or



fuel are in the form of molten fluoride salts, at temperatures of 700-800C, in a ceramic reactor vessel. The reactor effectively breeds its plutonium and uranium-233 fuel from the uranium and thorium salts. A graphite moderator produces an intermediate or epithermal neutron energy spectrum. The chain reaction takes place within the molten salt. All actinides remain within the salt and a


little release of radioactivity. The molten salt would freeze on contact with the outside air, trapping all radioactive wastes as stable fluoride compounds.

Salt reactors can be built in any size, from small units capable of providing heat, power and hydrogen to a single town, to enormous 1000-megawatt size devices. Due to the inherent simplicity of their design, they

are expected to be the cheapest, as well as the safest of new reactor designs. Ironically, the US government scrapped molten salt breeder reactors in the 1960s, because they were judged incapable of producing plutonium for nuclear weapons. This would be seen as an advantage today. (Reference 9)

Sodium Cooled Fast Reactors

This was the original breeder reactor concept. The sodium breeder reactor uses depleted uranium as fuel, either metal or oxides. With metal fuel, all fuel can be reprocessed on site and all long-lived wastes recycled back into the fuel. The reactor achieves some inherent safety by immersing the reactor core within a pool of liquid sodium, which provides passive cooling by convection, if active cooling is lost. However, severe safety concerns surround the use of liquid sodium, which burns on contact with air and explodes in contact with water. The anticipated coolant temperature of 550C is also too low to allow hydrogen production. The sodium reactor has the advantages of considerable



chemical reprocessing plant, which is built into the reactor, continuously removes fission products. This provides a huge safety advantage over other reactor designs, where fission products accumulate within the core.

The advantages of the molten salt design are numerous. The salt is inherently safe up to any size, due to passive cooling and thermal expansion. Poisonous fission products are continuously removed, so that intense radioactivity never accumulates within the core. Fission products tend to form stable fluoride salts, which dissolve harmlessly within the melt. All long-lived actinide wastes remain within the core and no actinides are expected to be produced as long-lived waste.

For these reasons, salt reactors are judged to be the safest of all of the new reactor designs. Even if a terrorist were somehow able to gain access to a nuclear plant and blast open the reactor vessel, there would be very



operating experience and a closed fuel cycle. If a non-reactive secondary coolant is used, it may be useful for electricity production and district heating on a city-scale. But proliferation remains a serious concern. One of the anticipated uses of the sodium fast breeder reactor when first experimented with in the 1950s was plutonium production for nuclear weapons. The British Dounreay Fast Breeder Reactor was a sodium type, as was the French Super Phenix. (Reference 10)

Super-Critical Water-Cooled Reactors

This is a high-temperature water-cooled reactor, which operates above the thermodynamic critical point of water, up to 550°C. This gives it a higher efficiency in electricity generation, but the temperature is too low for thermo-chemical hydrogen production. The supercritical water directly drives a turbine, without any secondary steam system or intermediate heat exchanger. The reactor can be built as a fast reactor, with all long-lived actinides recycled back into the fuel. The reactor includes passive safety features, provided by the large mass of water within the circuit and cooling by natural convection. The reactor is suitable for large-scale electricity production, although smaller reactors may be built to provide district heating. Most research so far has taken place in Japan. (Reference 11)

Very High-Temperature Gas Reactors

These are graphite-moderated, helium-cooled reactors, based on substantial experience. The core can be built of prismatic blocks such as the Japanese HTTR and the GTMHR under development by General Atomics and others in Russia, or it may be pebble bed such as the Chinese HTR-10 and the PBMR, under development in South Africa, with international partners. Outlet temperature of 1000°C enables thermo-chemical hydrogen production via an intermediate heat exchanger, with electricity cogeneration, or direct high-efficiency driving of a gas turbine (Brayton cycle). There is some flexibility in fuels, but no recycle. Modules of 600 MW thermal are

envisaged. (Reference 12)

Conclusion

In the absence of fossil fuels, electricity can be produced, in the large amounts needed by technological society, only by using nuclear reactors. New generation 4 nuclear technologies will soon be available and they will be safe and flexible enough to meet all of our energy needs, including electricity, hydrogen for transport fuel and district heating to warm our homes.

Many nationalists in the BNP see nuclear energy as a short-term solution, a transitional technology providing the energy that we need for a transitional period, in which society gradually adapts to renewable energy sources. In order for this to be successful, we must greatly reduce the amount of energy that we need as a society. There is no question of continuing a growth based, car dependant economy, in a world in which energy is so limited. But the change will be less traumatic if it is allowed to take place gradually and this is where nuclear energy is likely to

be most useful in the journey towards a sustainable and largely steady state economy.

Both Labour and Conservative governments alike have continually stunted the growth of this technology for purely ideological reasons. The Conservatives effectively ended the UK's advanced reactor programme in the early 1990s. Labour MPs are generally resentful of any technology or industry that asserts Britain's status as an independent power. Nuclear has always been strongly symbolic in this respect and in their desire to produce a one world, coffee coloured state, Labour and their supporters within the media, CND and the trade unions, have assertively undermined the industry.

Only now are Labour MPs belatedly coming to realise the importance of this technology in a world where fossil fuels are about to begin a devastating decline. We can only hope that a BNP government will reach power in time to put in place the new nuclear technologies that will prevent our nation and the entire world from returning to the Stone Age.

References

1. Renewable Energy and Electricity: <http://www.uic.com.au/nip38.htm>
2. Powerdown: *Options and Actions for a Post-Carbon World*, Richard Heinberg
3. Magnox Reactors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MAGNOX_reactor
4. Generation 2 reactors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generation_II_reactor
5. The Westinghouse Nuclear website for the AP-600 advanced reactor: <http://www.ap600.westinghousenuclear.com/>
6. Generation 4 nuclear reactors: <http://www.gen-4.org/>
7. Gas Cooled Fast Reactors: <http://nuclear.inl.gov/gen4/gfr.shtml>
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gas_cooled_fast_reactor
8. Lead Cooled Fast Reactors: http://nuclear.inl.gov/deliverables/docs/appendix_4.pdf
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lead_cooled_fast_reactor
9. Molten Salt Reactors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Molten_salt_reactor
<http://thoriumenergy.blogspot.com/2006/04/brief-history-of-liquid-fluoride.html>
10. Sodium Cooled Fast Reactors: <http://anes.fiu.edu/Pro/s9all.pdf>
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fast_breeder_reactor
11. Super-Critical Water-Cooled Reactors: http://www.tkk.fi/Units/AES/courses/crspages/Tfy-56.181_03/Danielyan.pdf
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supercritical_water_reactor
12. Very High-Temperature Gas Reactors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Very_high_temperature_reactor

Further Reading


The Website of the Australian Uranium Institute: www.uic.com.au/
British Advanced Gas Reactors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_gas_cooled_reactor
Thermo-chemical Hydrogen Production: <http://www.uic.com.au/nip73.htm>
Small Nuclear Reactors: <http://www.uic.com.au/nip60.htm>

YOU CAN HELP US BUILD TOWARDS NEXT MAY

The Leeds Two Free Speech Trial has yet again turned the British National Party into big news. More of our people than ever are aware that at last there is a plain-speaking, honest party that says what millions *think*.

This places us in pole position to benefit at next May's council elections from the sea-change in popular opinion and media coverage of 'our' issues that is so evident all around us.

But we can only capitalise on this coming great opportunity if we have the funds to spend on further improvements to our national and regional organisation, now.



Yes, I want to help the BNP organise to build on the sympathy won by Nick and Mark in Leeds.
I enclose.....

☐ £10 ☐ £25 ☐ £1000 ☐ £50 ☐ £100

☐ Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100